

Extending the GPS satellite antenna patterns of the IGS to nadir angles beyond 14° using LEO data

Adrian Jäggi

R. Dach, H. Bock, G. Beutler,
O. Montenbruck, R. Schmid

17 December 2010

adrian.jaeggi@aiub.unibe.ch

AGU
Fall Meeting
2010

San Francisco
California

December
13-17

Extending the GPS satellite antenna patterns of the IGS to nadir angles beyond 14° using LEO data

Adrian Jäggi

R. Dach, H. Bock, G. Beutler,
O. Montenbruck, R. Schmid

17 December 2010

adrian.jaeggi@aiub.unibe.ch

AIUB

Astronomical Institute
University of Bern

AGU
Fall Meeting
2010

San Francisco
California

December
13-17

Extending the GPS satellite antenna patterns of the IGS to nadir angles beyond 14° using LEO data

Adrian Jäggi

R. Dach, H. Bock, G. Beutler,
O. Montenbruck, R. Schmid

17 December 2010

adrian.jaeggi@aiub.unibe.ch



DLR

Deutsches Zentrum
für Luft- und Raumfahrt e.V.
in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

AGU
Fall Meeting
2010

San Francisco
California

December
13-17

Extending the GPS satellite antenna patterns of the IGS to nadir angles beyond 14° using LEO data

Adrian Jäggi

R. Dach, H. Bock, G. Beutler,
O. Montenbruck, R. Schmid

17 December 2010

adrian.jaeggi@aiub.unibe.ch



Institute of Astron. & Physical Geodesy
Technische Universität München

AGU
Fall Meeting
2010

San Francisco
California


December
13-17

Introduction

Phase center variations (PCVs) of GPS transmitter antennas, e.g., as provided by the IGS, are restricted to nadir angles $\leq 14^\circ$


GPS data from Low Earth Orbiters (LEOs) may be used to extend the GPS PCVs to nadir angles $\leq 17^\circ$

LEO phase center offsets (PCOs) have to be precisely known, LEO PCVs need to be co-estimated



L2 PCO
L1 PCO
Lc PCO

antenna
reference
point



Input data & products

- **LEO GPS data**, undifferenced ionosphere-free (Jason-2, GRACE-A/B, GOCE, MetOp-A)
- **GPS orbits and clock corrections** from the CODE final product line, introduced as known (consistent with PCOs & PCVs from igs05.atx)
- **LEO orbits** from AIUB relying on the CODE final product line, introduced as known (not based on empirical PCVs --> unbiased PCV estimation)
- **GPS PCOs and PCVs** from igs05.atx, used as a priori values for the transmitter antennas (PCV values extended beyond 14° with constant values)
- **LEO PCOs** used at AIUB for POD, introduced as known for the LEO receiver antennas (no a priori LEO PCVs are used)


Estimated parameters & constraints

- **PCVs for the GPS transmitter antennas**
(nadir-dependent, piecewise linear, satellite-specific)
 - zero-mean condition (for nadir angles $\leq 12^\circ$)
 - PCVs of two Block IIA SVs **constrained to a priori** due to the simultaneous estimation of LEO PCVs
- **PCVs for the LEO receiver antennas**
($5^\circ \times 5^\circ$ grid, piecewise linear, LEO-specific)
 - zero-mean condition over all grid points
 - weak overall constraint (in principle not necessary, just used to avoid unreasonably large values of weakly observed grid points)

Normal equations are assembled for different LEOs on a daily basis and accumulated to solve for the PCVs

Jason-2 solution

PCVs compared to igs05.atx




Data used:
30-sec GPS data
from entire year
2009

Large differences to a priori PCVs beyond 14° (constant extension)

Jason-2 solution


PCVs compared to igs05.atx



Completely different agreement with igs05.atx for different constraints

Jason-2 solution


PCVs compared with each other



Sat.-to-sat. differences do not depend on the applied constraint

Jason-2 solution

Differences compared to igs05.atx




Data used:
30-sec GPS data
from entire year
2009

Satellite-specific agreement of 2-3 mm with igs05.atx below 14°

Jason-2 solution

Differences compared to igs05.atx




Data used:
30-sec GPS data
from entire year
2009

Block-specific agreement of about 1 mm with igs05.atx below 14°

GRACE solution

Differences compared to igs05.atx




Data used:
30-sec GPS data
from entire year
2009

Satellite-specific agreement of 2-3 mm with igs05.atx below 14°

Consistency of solutions

Differences compared to igs05.atx



LEO data:


Jason-2

GRACE-A & B

Individual LEO solutions reasonably agree with each other ...

Combined solution


Differences compared to igs05.atx




Data used:
Jason-2 (1 year)
GRACE (1 year)
GOCE (0.5 year)
MetOp (23 days)

... and the combination further smoothes the estimates


Estimated LEO PCVs




GRACE-A



GRACE-B



Jason-2




GOCE

Impact of LEO orbit errors

Illustration with GOCE data


"unfair" experiment:



GOCE

orbits generated **with** empirical LEO PCVs are introduced as known for PCV estimation

differences:




large-scale structures of LEO PCVs show pronounced differences (cross-track shifts)

Systematic LEO orbit errors affect the estimated LEO PCVs ...

Impact of LEO orbit errors

Illustration with GRACE data



GRACE orbits:

w/o emp. PCVs

with emp. PCVs

... but they hardly affect the estimated GPS PCVs

Conclusions

- Satellite-specific GPS PCVs were simultaneously estimated with LEO PCVs from pure LEO GPS data
- Constraints are required to enable the simultaneous estimation of GPS and LEO PCVs when using only LEO GPS data
- Simultaneous PCV estimation is required to avoid mapping of mismodeled LEO PCVs into the GPS PCVs
- Satellite-specific GPS PCVs may be consistently estimated to igs05.atx, the agreement is about **2-3 mm** below 14°
- Block-specific values may be generated a posteriori, they show an agreement with igs05.atx of about **1 mm** below 14°
- Block-specific values could be used to consistently extend IGS GPS PCVs beyond 14°
- For a future re-estimation of GPS PCVs the combination with LEO NEQs should be considered

Thank you for your attention!